





International Electrotechnical Commission System for Certification to Standards Relating to Equipment for Use in Explosive Atmospheres (IECEx System)



IECEx throughout the world

IECEx 2018
International Conference

Split, Croatia 23rd April 2018







INTRODUCING

- Ron Sinclair MBE
- Technical Manager
- SGS Baseefa













ATEX – European Community Directive 2014/34/EU

- Applied throughout the European Economic Area (EEA) since 1 July 2003 (Originally as 94/9/EC)
 - The European Union (EU)
 - The European Free Trade Association (EFTA)
 - Turkey (as a member of the Customs Union)
- Became national law in each country by "adoption"
- Follows earlier directives from 1970s and 1980s
- 2014/34/EU replaced 94/9/EC
 - Effective from 20 April 2016
 - Very little practical change for manufacturers
 - Clarifies responsibilities for importers and distributors







ATEX 2014/34/EU

- Not primarily about Safety
- About Removing Barriers to Trade within Europe (EEA)
 - Creates minor barrier to trade between the rest of the world and Europe
- Sets only minimum requirements
 - To avoid safety concerns being a barrier to trade
- Conformity Assessment Requirements
 - Not consistent
 - Vary with Category of Equipment (EPL of Equipment)
 - Less than earlier directives
 - Does <u>not</u> require compliance with standards







ATEX 2014/34/EU - Categories / EPLs / Zones

ATEX Category	IEC Equipment Protection Level	Installation Zone
1G / 1D	Ga / Da	0 / 20
2G / 2D	Gb / Db	1 / 21
3G / 3D	Gc / Dc	2 / 22
M1	Ma	Leave on *
M2	Mb	Switch off *

^{*} When flammable gas is detected in the body of the mine







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Conformity Assessment

- ATEX provides many routes for Conformity Assessment
 - Not all involve Certification (the intervention of a 3rd party)
- Internal Control of Production is done entirely by the manufacturer on his own responsibility
- IECEx, in contrast applies the same procedures to all equipment



ATEX (Annex)

Type Examination (III)

Product Verification (V)

Conformity to Type (VI)

Internal Control of Production

Production QA (IV)

Product QA (VII)

Unit Verification (IX)

Equipment Certification

(Type Examination + QA)

Unit Verification

IECEx process

(VIII)



NB

NB + M

NB

(NB)

Non-Electrical

M

(+ deposit file)

(NB)

Gb + Db + Mb

ExCB + ExTL

ExCB + ExTL

3

M

(NB)

Gc + Dc

ExCB + ExTL

ExCB + ExTL

Hrvatski zavod za norme Croatian Standards Institute	IEC EX			ECONOM
	Categories	1 + M1	2 + M2 Electrical	2 + M2

NB

NB

NB

(NB)

Ga + Da + Ma

ExCB + ExTL

ExCB + ExTL

EPL







ATEX 2014/34/EU - Notified Bodies

- A Notified Body is a "third party" body NOTIFIED to the European Commission by a National Government to perform specific actions in relation to a directive
 - 2014/34/EU introduced, for the first time, a requirement for a Notified Body to have national accreditation
 - Previously, different countries set different criteria for the notification process
 - One of the reasons (along with unclear conformity assessment requirements) that Australia, for example, ceased to accept ATEX as a criteria for import
 - 2014/34/EU explicitly accepted that the original process was weak and set a higher acceptance criteria but still does not insist on accreditation as the only route







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Harmonisation/Standardisation

- Essential Health and Safety Requirements (EHSRs)
 - Several pages of generalised requirements
 - Flameproof is the only type of protection mentioned (and only in one sentence)

Harmonised Standards

- Accepted as demonstrating compliance to the EHSRs
- Prepared by CEN and Cenelec Most Cenelec standards are based on IEC standards. A few CEN standards are ISO
- Use of these standards is normal (though voluntary)
- Standards usually cycle through being "not-yet-harmonised", "harmonised" and finally "deharmonised" in their lifetime
- Can cause confusion when harmonisation status changes
- Use of non-harmonised standards can often be justified







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Standardisation (Electrical Equip.

- Cenelec EN 60000 series standards are generally technically equivalent to IEC 60000 series
- For EN 60079 series standards, the main difference is the addition of ATEX marking
- EN 50000 series standards are not directly based on IEC documents and may not have an international equivalent
 - E.g. EN 50495 Safety Systems for ATEX
- Dual certification to IEC 60079 series (for IECEx) and EN 60079 series (for ATEX) is common practice







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Standardisation (Non-Elec. Equip.)

- CEN EN 13463 series developed from 2000 onwards
 - Directly tied in with 94/9/EC regarding marking
 - Protection concepts fr, c, b and k included in marking
- ISO 80079 series developed from 2016
 - Could not follow ATEX marking of the EN 13463 series
 - New concept letter h applies even if c, b or k is applied
- EN ISO 80079-36 -37 and -38 published 2017
 - Adds ATEX marking to the ISO version
 - No technical changes
 - Same standards now available for use with ATEX and IECEx







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Documentation

- Manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity (DoC)
 - Made by the manufacturer on SOLE responsibility
 - Backed up by the manufacturer's Technical File
- For some equipment (Cat. 1/M1 + Cat 2/M2 electrical) backed up by:
 - EC-Type Examination Certificate
 - Relating to the design
 - Quality Assurance Notification
 - Relating to production
 - Alternative of Product Verification Certificate
- DoC is the only document legally obliged to be supplied







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Declarations of Conformity

- Theoretically made on the day each individual item of equipment is "placed on the market"
- In practice usually pre-printed for serial production leads to errors
- Change in harmonisation status of standards
 - Initially not harmonised
 - Harmonised
 - Not harmonised when superseded
 - "State of the Art" issues
- Change in authorised signatory
 - Who gets prosecuted?







ATEX 2014/34/EU and IECEx – Positives

- An IECEx ExTR from any IECEx ExCB can be used to support an application for ATEX EC-Type Examination
 - Apart from marking, the technical requirements are almost certainly identical
- AN IECEx QAR from any IECEx ExCB can be used to support an application for an ATEX QAN
 - The requirements are absolutely identical (ISO/IEC 80079-34)
- A European ExCB (as all are also ATEX NBs) will usually issue both sets of documentation together for very little extra cost







ATEX 2014/34/EU and IECEx – Positives

- For all ATEX Category 3 and for ATEX Category 2 non-electrical, the IECEx Certificate and ExTR can be used directly to form the technical file supporting the DoC made under the module "Internal Control of Production"
- For equipment not conforming directly to an IEC published standard for a defined Type of Protection, IECEx permits the use of IEC 60079-33 "Ex s"







ATEX 2014/34/EU and IECEx - Positives

- For equipment not conforming directly to a Cenelec harmonised EN standard, ATEX permits direct assessment against the Essential Health and Safety Requirements
- IEC 60079-33 has not been published as an EN, although many national standards bodies have published it, for example as BS IEC 60079-33
- The methodology of IEC 60079-33 can support the EHSRs so an IECEx Certificate to IEC 60079-33 can form part of the technical file for ATEX







ATEX 2014/34/EU – Negatives

- The controls over direct use of the EHSRs are often believed to be weak and allow manufacturers to pay "lip service" to full conformity
- The role of the different Conformity Assessment Modules is not always understood and some countries are (possibly justifiably) not happy that ATEX equates to "proper" certification
- The level of competence of the various Notified Bodies is widely believed to be extremely variable (although this has supposedly been addressed in the new directive)
- The Answer: Both ATEX and IECEx together







ATEX 94/9/EC >>> ATEX 2014/34/EU >>> and then?

- ATEX 2014/34/EU is a "recast" of 94/9/EC without any major technical change
 - Results from the New Legislative Framework (NLF)
 - Several directives have been aligned
 - Not appropriate to integrate with IECEx at that time
- European Commission have followed the developments at UNECE with interest
 - Full time EU official responsible for ATEX has attended more than one of the UNECE conferences
- There is probably a will within the European Commission to recognise IECEx directly within ATEX
 - But when ? And How ?







ATEX 1999/92/EC – The "other" ATEX Directive

- Minimum requirements for improving the safety and health protection of workers potentially at risk from explosive atmospheres
- This relates directly to installations and their management rather than the supply of equipment
- The IEC standards relating to installations are relevant but are not "harmonised"
 - IEC 60079-10-1 /-2 Hazardous Area Classification
 - IEC 60079-14 Selection and Installation of Equipment
 - IEC 60079-17 Inspection and Maintenance of Equipment
 - IEC 60079-19 Repair and Overhaul of Equipment
- Requires all installed Equipment to comply with 94/9/EC







ATEX 1999/92/EC The "other" ATEX Directive

- Unlike 94/9/EC or 2014/34/EU, 1999/92/EC is a "Safety Directive"
- It sets Minimum Requirements
- National Governments can implement in different ways and increase the requirements above the minimum in the directive
- Introduces











Other Regional / National Systems

- The world is divided
- Administrations where "certification" alone is the only requirement for market penetration
 - Fairly straight forward
- Administrations where "installation permissioning regimes" apply in addition to or as an alternative to certification
 - More complicated
- IECEx can help with the certification side but not totally with the installation permissioning side
 - This often requires an "in country" agent or representative







Eurasian Customs Union

- Includes Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and the Russian Federation
- TP TC 012/2011
 - A single regulation applying to all five countries
- Remarkable similarity to ATEX (some phrases identical) but distinct differences
 - Recognises a third level of protection for Mining
 - No equivalent of "Internal Control of Production"
 - IECEx certificates and reports specifically recognised
 - subject to review by the local certification body as a vehicle for issuing the local documentation
- Uses IEC standards with some regional differences







Brazil

- INMETRO Certification
 - INMETRO is Brazil's national accreditation body
 - INMETRO also manages certification schemes
 - INMETRO does not certify products
- INMETRO Directive179 of May 18, 2010
 - As with TP TC 012/2011, certain phrases from ATEX are recognisable
 - Specifically references the NBR versions of the IEC standards but confirms that they are adopted without deviation
 - Allows any Brazilian certification body to base INMETRO certification on IECEx documentation
 - Greatly frees the market compared with previous regulations
 - But added restrictions re QA from mid 2014







India – Local Certification for Indian manuf'd products

- Both Certification and Permission
- An Indian laboratory issues a report/certificate
- For Ex d Ex e or Ex i equipment BIS issues a license
- PESO (Petroleum and Explosives Safety Organisation) issues a permission to install based on Laboratory Certificate and BIS License (Group II)
 - Note PESO insist on a BIS License for Ex d but not for Ex e or Ex i
- DGMS (Director General of Mines Safety) issues permission based on report/certificate and BIS License (Group I)







India – IECEx Certification for non-Indian man'd products

- Manufacturers outside India should use their IECEx Certificate directly if they have an Indian representative or agent who can be shown to take responsibility for follow-up servicing of the equipment
- As with Indian manufactured products, the full procedure for application to PESO or DGMS for permission to install is required
- Note that PESO are insisting on IECEx Certification and will not allow non-Indian products to be certified in India
 - This means that slightly different standards are used depending on the country of manufacture







USA – The most difficult market?

- Divisions versus Zones
 - USA has joined IECEx but only in respect of equipment destined for Zoned Areas
 - The default installation in USA is almost invariably based on their historical "Division" system with only a few on Zones
 - This assists USA exporters, but because OSHA have not given permission for the use of IECEx reports (albeit reports with national differences overtly considered) to support certification of equipment destined for Division Areas there is an effective uni-directional trade barrier in existence
 - A brighter note: The US Coastguard looks favourably on IECEx as a route in for equipment in the Gulf of Mexico, where it is accepted that the technical construction of equipment to the IEC 60079 series of standards offers many benefits compared with the Division equipment







USA – An extended market?

- USA has an extended influence in the Oil and Gas market outside its own territory
- Divisions and Zones do not mix
 - With the possible exception of some carefully specified intrinsically safe equipment (where the standards are similar, though not identical) installations should be one or the other
 - The reason most Division Explosion Proof equipment is so much heavier (and more expensive) than the equivalent IEC Flameproof equipment is that it has to withstand higher explosion pressures relating to the use of conduit wiring systems.







Direct legal acceptance

- There are five countries in the world that have written IECEx into their national legal requirements as an accepted alternative to the national certification (albeit with some minor restrictions)
 - Australia
 - New Zealand
 - Singapore
 - India
 - Israel
- Many other countries where the law does not prescribe a particular certification scheme also accept IECEx in preference to any other regional or national scheme







Indirect legal acceptance

- All regions and countries with an IECEx ExCB are committed to indirect acceptance via their own certification bodies
 - Sometimes this is actually written into the legislation
 - Brazil
 - Customs Union (Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and the Russian Federation)
- Single exception is USA for Divisions







Conclusion

IECEx is a passport to either direct or indirect entry to most markets throughout the world







International Electrotechnical Commission System for Certification to Standards Relating to Equipment for Use in Explosive Atmospheres (IECEx System)



Thank you

Ron Sinclair MBE
Technical Manager
SGS Baseefa
ron.sinclair@sgs.com



