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**INTRODUCTION**

This document contains the Compilation of Comments and Observations from the originator, ExTAG Working Group WG01, on ExTAG ExTAG/666/CD Draft Revision Draft Revision of OD 010-2 Operational Document - Guidance for the development, compilation, issuing and receipt of ExTRs - Part 2: Procedures and guidance.

***Please inform the Secretariat immediately of any omissions or errors at***

***Christine Kane***

|  |
| --- |
| **Address:****IECEx Secretariat****Level 33 Australia Square****264 George Street****Sydney NSW 2000****Australia****Web:** [**www.iecex.com**](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cchristine.kane%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5CWindows%5CTemporary%20Internet%20Files%5Cchristine.kane%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5CWindows%5Cchristine.kane%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5CWindows%5CTemporary%20Internet%20Files%5CContent.Outlook%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CUsers%5Chorn02%5CAppData%5CLocal%5Cchristine.kane%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5Cchristine.kane%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5CWindows%5CTemporary%20Internet%20Files%5CChristine.Kane%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5CWindows%5CTemporary%20Internet%20Files%5CAppData%5CLocal%5Cjugauthier%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5CnotesC9812B%5Cwww.iecex.com) |

| **ExCB/****ExTL** | **Clause/ Sub-clause** | **Paragraph Figure/****Table** | **Type of****comment****General/****technical/****editorial** | **COMMENTS** | **Proposed change** | **Observation****(to be completed by the originator)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CMDINIn consultation with Intertek India Private Limited, Karandikar Laboratori-es Pvt. Ltd., and KL Certificati-on Services |  |  |  | After a consultation with members of the National forum and ExCBs/ExTLs from India participating in ExTAG, it is hereby stated that we have 'no comments' on both these draft ExTAG/665/CD and ExTAG/666/CD. |  | Noted. |
| CNEX-GlobalNL | - | - | g | We have no comments on the revised texts  | - | Noted. |
| FTZUCZ |  |  | G | We agree with this draft revision of OD 010-1. |  | Noted. |
| ITLIL |  |  |  | N/A |  | Noted. |
| LCIEFR | 2.3 |  | technical | The section "Technical Documents" is not addressed by the draft as other sections | Add 2.3.13 for details about "Technical Documents" | Accepted. Added new 2.3.14. Details were taken from the notes found in the blank Cover |
| LCIEFR | 2.3.1 |  | Editorial | What is "either copies of all datasheets"? Is it material datasheets or raw test datas / testsheets?  | Replace "datasheets" with "all test records indicating the date of performance of test" | Accepted in part. The reference standard ISO/IEC17025 defines “data” as “Technical Records” in 7.5.1, rather than referring to test records. Included the correct term “Technical Records” and referred to “datasheets” as an example. |
| LCIE FR | 3 |  | Technical | “The receiving ExCB shall only request related drawings specific to the ExTR package or audit issue that may be under question.”For product assessment, all the technical documents mentioned in the ExTR cover in section “Technical Documents” shall be provided along with the ExTR | Complete with:“All technical documents listed in the ExTR cover in section “Technical Documents” shall be provided along with the ExTR.In addition, the receiving ExCB shall only request related drawings specific to the ExTR package or audit issue that may be under question. | Accepted. |
| LOMES |  |  | G | LOM agrees with the update of this document and has no additional comments |  | Noted. |
| NEPSICN |  |  | Editorial | We support the draft revised operational document ExTAG/666/CD. | 1. In the last paragraph of Clause 2.1, “The issuing ExTR and ExCB” should be changed as “The issuing ExTL and ExCB”.
2. “IEC Ex standard” or “IEC standard” through the text should be changed as “IEC or ISO Ex standard” or “IEC or ISO standard”.
 | 1. Accepted.
2. Noted. (a word search for “standard” throughout the document confirms the editorial change includes reference IEC and ISO standards.)
 |
| PTBDE |  |  | general | PTB accepts the revision |  | Noted. |
| QPSCA |  |  |  | QPS has no comments on these revised OD’s. We agree with the proposed changes. |  | Noted. |
| RISESE |  |  |  | RISE ExCB do not have any comments on these. |  | Noted. |
| SGS BaseefaGB | 2.3.1 | 6 | Ed | Probably just a language issue, but reference to data sheets might be understood to refer to data sheets supplied, for example, by a component manufacturer.It is assumed that the intention is to refer to what many laboratories would call “test record sheets” | Change “datasheets” to either “test record sheets” or “test result data sheets” | Accepted in Principle. Editorial revisions will be made prior to posting to reflect inserted comment in the ExTR Cover by1. Deleting the following:

~~All ExTR package documents are to include, as applicable, copies of all datasheets, test record sheets, test result data sheets or a tabulation that indicate the date(s) of performance of all testing, according to ISO/IEC 17025, and this requirement is to be reflected in the ExTR Cover.~~2) Inserting the following:7.8.2.1(h) of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 requires each test report to include the date(s) of performance for all testing. Compliance with this requirement can be established as follows:- Inserting a reference to attached test datasheet package(s).- Tabulation of all testing by the title of each test and the date(s) on which each test was performed.- If no testing was performed, "N/A" to be entered in this field. |
| SGS BaseefaGB | 2.3.6 | 2 | Te | Because of the delay in producing the IECEx “Decision Rule” text, many bodies will have already agreed forms of wording with their national accreditation bodies and will not wish to change the text unnecessarily. | Add a note:NOTE: Where the body has an agreed a form of Decision Rule text with its national accreditation body, this may continue to be used, provided that it is not in conflict with the standard IECEx text. | Accepted.  |
| SGS BaseefaGB | 2.3.6 |  | Te | Where a certification/testing body requires to insert specific legal text related to its terms and conditions, this is the most suitable place | Insert additional paragraph:Where a body requires to insert its own “legal” text (for example Terms and Conditions) it shall be inserted in the General remarks field as a final paragraph, in a reduced size font. Ariel 8 point is suitable. | Accepted. Slightly modifying the proposed text to include “provided that it is not in conflict with the standard IECEx text”. |
| SimtarsAU |  |  |  | Simtars has no comments. |  | Noted. |
| TIISJP |  |  | General | We support the draft OD without comments. |  | Noted. |
| UL/DEMKODK |  |  |  | UL Demko supports both documents (ExTAG/665/CD and ExTAG/666/CD) without any additional comments.  |  | Noted. |
| UL do BRBR |  |  |  | ULBR supports both DS´s without any additional comments. |  | Noted. |
| UL LLCUSA |  |  |  | UL LLC has no comments and supports them as drafted.  |  | Noted. |